

Robust adaptive finite element schemes for nonlinear viscoelastic solid deformation: an investigative study

Item No. 0001: Interim (3 month) report for 11 December 1997

J. R. Whiteman, Principal Investigator

December 1997

United States Army
EUROPEAN RESEARCH OFFICE OF THE U.S. ARMY
London, England
CONTRACT NUMBER N68171-97-M-5763
R&D 8336-MS-01

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2

CONTRACTOR:

BICOM, Brunel University, Uxbridge, UB8 3PH, England

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

19980126 165

ATTACHMENT	TITLE	NUMBER OF PAGES
1	Report Documentation Page	1

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Appro OMB No. 0704
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204 Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0183), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank)	2. REPORT DATE 11 DECEMBER 1997	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED 1ST INTERIM, 11SEPT-11DEC 1997		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE ROBUST ADAPTIVE FINITE ELEMENT SCHEMES FOR NONLINEAR VISCOELASTIC SOLID DEFORMATION; AN INVESTIGATIVE STUDY				5. FUNDING NUMBERS
6. AUTHOR(S) PROFESSOR J R WHITEMAN, DR S SHAW				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) BICOM, INSTITUTE OF COMPUTATIONAL MATHEMATICS BRUNEL UNIVERSITY, UXBRIDGE UB8 3PH, UK				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) UNITED STATES ARMY EUROPEAN RESEARCH OFFICE 223 OLD MARYLEBONE ROAD LONDON NW1 5TH, UK				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) <p>ABSTRACT In this first three month phase of the research project we have continued our existing work, as outlined in the original Seed Project Proposal, on the numerical solution of quasistatic viscoelasticity problems. These space-time problems have been modelled using hereditary integral constitutive relations, and we have produced <i>a priori</i> and <i>a posteriori</i> energy-norm error estimates for space-time finite element discretizations for the linear quasistatic problem. The basic <i>a posteriori</i> (i.e. calculable) error estimate allows for adaptive-in-space mesh refinement but, due to a lack of strong temporal stability in the underlying problem, not for adaptive time stepping. In order to address this difficulty we have developed <i>a posteriori</i> error bounds in less physical (weaker) norms which do allow adaptive time step control. This appears to be the first time that such error control is possible for second-kind Volterra equations.</p>				
14. SUBJECT TERMS				15. NUMBER OF PAGES
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT U				16. PRICE STATEMENT U
18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE U		19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT U		20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT U

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 2
Prescribed by ANSI
Z39-102

ABSTRACT In this first three month phase of the research project we have continued our existing work, as outlined in the original Seed Project Proposal, on the numerical solution of quasistatic viscoelasticity problems. These space-time problems have been modelled using hereditary integral constitutive relations, and we have produced *a priori* and *a posteriori* energy-norm error estimates for space-time finite element discretizations for the linear quasistatic problem. The basic *a posteriori* (i.e. **calculable**) error estimate allows for adaptive-in-space mesh refinement but, due to a lack of **strong temporal stability** in the underlying problem, not for adaptive time stepping. In order to address this difficulty we have developed *a posteriori* error bounds in less physical (weaker) norms which do allow adaptive time step control. This appears to be the first time that such error control is possible for second-kind Volterra equations.

1 Phase I research

This project is concerned with developing robust numerical schemes for the adaptive solution of quasistatic viscoelasticity problems. Specifically, we are investigating and comparing the two models resulting from **hereditary integral** and **internal variable** formulations with regard to: mathematical feasibility; computational efficiency; flexibility; and, reliability. We refer to the original *Seed Project Proposal* for further details, and in particular to Section 1 where the error estimate is described in the context of the hereditary integral formulation of a model problem posed in one space dimension plus time.

In this first phase of the research we have addressed the first of the objectives set out in the proposal:

To extend the one-dimensional a posteriori error analysis and adaptive scheme to linear quasistatic problems in higher dimensions.

Apart from a few minor technical details the theoretical aspect of this work is now substantially complete in the following sense.

We have obtained *a posteriori* error estimates for a space-time finite element discretization of the problem wherein the displacement is approximated by a finite element solution that is piecewise linear and continuous in the space variables, and either piecewise constant or linear, and discontinuous, in the time variable. These discontinuities occur at the discrete time levels t_1, t_2, \dots , and allow the space-mesh to change (be adapted) in time.

In terms of spatial discretization our estimates measure the displacement errors in the elastic-energy norm. This is usual for the closely related linear elasticity problem. For the time discretization we measure this error in either the maximum energy norm over the time interval, or in a norm especially designed for the problem in order to overcome the difficulty presented by the lack of strong temporal stability of the solution. To summarize our results we refer to items in the draft BICOM technical report:

Space-time finite element method with a-posteriori Galerkin energy-error estimates for linear quasistatic viscoelasticity problems (draft)

in the following way. We refer to "item" in this report by using the notation $\langle \textit{item} \rangle$. For example, to refer to the equation labelled "6" in the report we use $\langle \textit{Equation 6} \rangle$.

Copies of this report may be obtained from BICOM from the address shown on the front cover.

Some notation: we use \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{U} to denote respectively the exact and finite element displacements vectors (these are functions of space, \mathbf{x} , and time, t), and denote the error as $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{U}$. The energy norm of the error is given by $\|\mathbf{e}\|$ and—as usual—we use h to indicate the space-mesh size, and k for the time steps. The problem is considered as posed in space in a domain Ω , and in time during the time interval $\mathcal{J} = [0, T]$.

The basic *a posteriori* error estimate in *(Theorem 13)* is:

$$\max_{1 \leq q \leq p} \|\mathbf{e}(t_q)\| \leq S(t_p) \left(\mathcal{E}_\Omega(t_p; \mathbf{U}) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{J}}(t_p; \mathbf{U}) \right),$$

for every time level $t_p = t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots$. Here $S(t)$ is a stability factor which is known precisely in terms of the **fading memory** of the viscoelasticity problem, and $\mathcal{E}_\Omega, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ are residual terms that are **computable** in terms of the numerical solution \mathbf{U} and the applied loads. These terms $\mathcal{E}_\Omega, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ are supposed to measure respectively the errors due to space and time discretization.

In fact \mathcal{E}_Ω can be used to estimate the “space errors” and also to design the space mesh in order to achieve **space-error control for a user specified tolerance level**. But, in its basic form $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ is unstable (*Section 7*), and therefore of no value. This is a direct consequence of the lack of strong temporal stability in the underlying problem.

The term $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{J}}$ can be stabilized at the price of measuring the residual term it contains in a weaker norm (*Theorem 16*). This increases the computational “cost” of the algorithm.

To address this difficulty we have also produced in (*Theorems 24 and 27*) *a posteriori* estimates for the error measured in a weaker norm especially tailored to the problem. This time we have

$$\text{weak max}_{1 \leq q \leq p} \|\mathbf{e}(t_q)\| \leq S(t_p) \left(\mathcal{E}_\Omega^*(t_p; \mathbf{U}) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^*(t_p; \mathbf{U}) \right).$$

The definition of the “weak max” norm (denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{W_{*}^{-1}(0, t_p; \text{energy})}$ in the draft technical report) is loosely based on the dual norm $\|\cdot\|_{W_{\infty}^{-1}(0, t_p)}$ as used in Sobolev space theory. We refer to (*Section 8*) for further details.

In this latter estimate the term $\mathcal{E}_\Omega^*(t_p; \mathbf{U})$ is substantially the same as $\mathcal{E}_\Omega(t_p; \mathbf{U})$, but now $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^*(t_p; \mathbf{U})$ allows adaptive time step selection to **control the “time errors” for a user-specified tolerance level** also. Thus the algorithm will be able to **automatically** adjust the space-time discretization so as to produce an approximate solution \mathbf{U} for which,

$$\text{weak max}_{1 \leq q \leq p} \|\mathbf{u}(t_q) - \mathbf{U}(t_q)\| \leq \text{TOL} = \text{user-specified tolerance}.$$

The price of this is error control in a less physical norm.

We have also derived *a priori* error estimates for the scheme in (*Theorem 33*), and have shown **reliability** of the *a posteriori* error estimates in (*Lemmas 35, 36 and 37*).

We expect at least two research papers to arise from this work, dealing with the *a priori* and *a posteriori* error analysis respectively.

2 Future work

The next phase of the research will address Objective 2 in the Seed Project Proposal, which concerns the possibility of deriving adaptive finite element methods for the **internal variable** formulation of the problem. The timing here is deliberate so as to optimize the collaboration with Dr. Arthur Johnson, who plans to visit BICOM during the period March 1–8, 1998. When we have reached a suitable point in this work we will develop software to implement our results for Objectives 1 and 2 before moving on to address Objectives 3 and 4.

3 Administrative Actions and Other Details

- During this first phase Dr. S. Shaw has been supported in part from this contract, and will continue to be supported in part for the duration.
- Dr. S. Shaw presented a lecture on our results on adaptive methods for viscoelastic solid deformation at Imperial College, London, in November 1997.
- Professor Whiteman presented the models and *a posteriori* error estimates in seminars at Texas A and M University, and at the University of Texas at Austin in November and December 1997.
- The first receipt of funds from this contract will result from the submission of this first interim report.